IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3696 OF 2004.
IN
CROSS OBJECTION ST. NO. 42388 OF 2000.
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1015 OF 2004.

The State of Maharashtra. ..Applicant.

VERSUS.

Gosavi K. Tandel (since dead
through L.Rs.) ..Respondents

...

Mr. K.N.Kore for the applicant.

CORAM: S. B. MHASE &
R. S. MOHITE, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 19, 2004.

P.C.:-

1. Leave to amend.

2. Heard learned counsel for the appellant. The Cross-objections have been filed within time, however, three objections were raised by the office, i.e., claim for court fee and jurisdiction stated but explanation regarding footnote is not correct; copy of cross objections not served on other parties to the appeal; and spare copy of memo not filed. Learned counsel submits that these objections are removed. We have noted that all these objections are flimsy and for that purpose the

Registrar should not have rejected the cross objections. The delay in filing this application for setting aside the order of the Registrar is hereby condoned. The cross-objections are taken on record. So called objections raised by the office stand removed. Civil application accordingly stands disposed of.

(R.S.MOHITE,J.) **(S.B.MHASE,J.)**